

Bark beetles and pinhole borers (Curculionidae, Scolytinae, Platypodinae) alien to Europe

Lawrence R. Kirkendall¹, Massimo Faccoli²

1 Department of Biology, University of Bergen, P.O. Box 7803, N-5006 Bergen, Norway **2** Department of Environmental Agronomy and Crop Productions – Entomology, Viale dell'Università, 16 - 35020 Legnaro (PD), Italy

Corresponding author: Lawrence R. Kirkendall (lawrence.kirkendall@bio.uib.no)

Academic editor: Miloš Knížek | Received 24 October 2009 | Accepted 31 March 2010 | Published 17 September 2010

Citation: Kirkendall LR, Faccoli M (2010) Bark beetles and pinhole borers (Curculionidae, Scolytinae, Platypodinae) alien to Europe. In: Cognato AI, Knížek M (Eds) Sixty years of discovering scolytine and platypodine diversity: A tribute to Stephen L. Wood. ZooKeys 56: 227–251. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.56.529

Abstract

Invasive bark beetles are posing a major threat to forest resources around the world. DAISIE's web-based and printed databases of invasive species in Europe provide an incomplete and misleading picture of the alien scolytines and platypodines. We present a review of the alien bark beetle fauna of Europe based on primary literature through 2009. We find that there are 18 Scolytinae and one Platypodinae species apparently established in Europe, from 14 different genera. Seventeen species are naturalized. We argue that *Trypodendron laeve*, commonly considered alien in Europe, is a native species; conversely, we hypothesize that *Xyleborus pfeilii*, which has always been treated as indigenous, is an alien species from Asia. We also point out the possibility that the Asian larch bark beetle *Ips subelongatus* is established in European Russia. We show that there has been a marked acceleration in the rate of new introductions to Europe, as is also happening in North America: seven alien species were first recorded in the last decade.

We present information on the biology, origins, and distributions of the alien species. All but four are polyphagous, and 11 are inbreeders: two traits which increase invasiveness. Eleven species are native to Asia, six to the Americas, and one is from the Canary Islands. The Mediterranean is especially favorable for invasives, hosting a large proportion of the aliens (9/19). Italy, France and Spain have the largest numbers of alien species (14, 10 and 7, respectively). We point out that the low numbers for at least some countries is likely due to under-reporting.

Finally, we discuss the difficulties associated with identifying newly invasive species. Lack of good illustrations and keys hinder identification, particularly for species coming from Asia and Oceania.

Keywords

Invasive species, ambrosia beetles, polyphagy, inbreeding, *Ambrosiodmus*, *Ambrosiophilus*, *Coccotrypes*, *Cyclorhipidion*, *Dactylotrypes*, *Dryocoetes*, *Gnathotrichus*, *Hypocryphalus*, *Hypothenemus*, *Phloeosinus*, *Phloeotribus*, *Megaplatypus*, *Monarthrum*, *Xyleborinus*, *Xyleborus*, *Xylosandrus*

Introduction

The great British ecologist Charles Elton presciently referred to the effect of invasive species as “one of the great historic convulsions in the world’s fauna and flora” (Elton 1958). Enormous damage is done by nonindigenous species to ecosystems and economies (e.g. Vitousek et al. 1997, Pimentel et al. 2005, Colautti et al. 2006, Asner et al. 2008), and introduced species are considered the biggest threat to biodiversity after habitat destruction (Wilson 1992). Though the ecological and economic effects of many immigrant species are minor, some immigrant species can significantly impact the functional properties of ecosystems, disrupt food webs, displace indigenous species, or threaten food and water supplies (Kenis et al. 2009). In some cases, it is the activities of the organism itself which have these effects, but in others, such as Dutch Elm Disease, it is the microorganisms they bear in or on them (e.g. Humble and Allen 2006).

Introduced wood-borers are a major concern to regions with significant forest resources. Around the world, dozens if not hundreds of alien phytophagous insects become established every decade, and wood-borers make up a significant proportion of these (Haack 2001, 2006, Work et al. 2005, Mattson et al. 2007). Steve Wood first drew attention to the accelerating rate of introductions of bark beetles and pinhole borers (Curculionidae: Scolytinae, Platypodinae) starting with a brief article in 1977 and subsequently re-visited the topic in each of his major synoptic works (Wood, 1977, Wood 1982, Wood and Bright 1992, Wood 2007). Supplements to the world catalog also express worries over the rapidly increasing list of established alien species (Bright and Skidmore 1997, 2002). In 1995, concerned about the growing problems with identifying exotic bark beetles, Robert Haack (USDA Forest Service) and European and Asian plant protection specialists finally convinced Steve (then six years retired!) to commence work on what would be his last great achievement, the monograph of the Scolytinae of South America (Wood 2007).

There has been over three decades of discussion of the problems posed by introduced bark beetles. Steve Wood’s 1977 paper was developed from a talk given at the XIVth International Congress of Entomology in 1972. Both this and the subsequent treatment of the topic in the introductory material of the 1982 monograph (pages 25–27) were from an American point of view: which species have been introduced to the Americas, and which North, Central or South American species have become established in Europe.

With respect to exotic wood-boring insects, for North America, much is known about which invasive species are present and where (Haack 2001, 2006). We know

much less about the numbers and distributions of alien species in Europe. In Wood's 1982 treatment, only *Gnathotrichus materiarius* had been introduced from the Nearctic to Europe, and a recent paper (Mattson et al. 2007) operated with only five species – less than a third of the total which we report here. There are no previous reviews on the topic, and databases which have been established specifically to inform the public and policy makers about alien species in Europe are riddled with errors and incomplete (at least with regard to *Scolytinae* and *Platypodinae*).

There are two sources of newcomers to a fauna: species originally from distant regions or other continents, and those from the same region or continent which are expanding or shifting their ranges. We consider here only established species immigrant to continental Europe. Within-Europe range expansions are of interest in themselves, but ecologically and evolutionarily are a distinct phenomenon from that of the establishment of truly exotic species. We will use the term 'alien species' here in the sense of alien to Europe, originating outside the bounds of continental Europe.

Methods

Terminology. The terminology of invasion biology is much disputed (e.g. Frank and McCoy 1990, Colautti and MacIsaac 2004, and their references), so we find it prudent to define ours. We use the terms *exotic*, *alien*, and *non-indigenous* interchangeably, to refer to species whose native distributions lie outside of continental Europe, our reference point. We use *invasive* broadly to refer to alien species which have established self-sustaining populations, irregardless of whether in natural or man-made habitats; we do not use it in the restricted sense of species having known ecological or economic effects. *Introduced* is sometimes used to refer to deliberate introductions (Frank and McCoy 1990), but we use it more broadly to indicate spread by human-mediated transport (regardless of intent), and we use *immigrant* and the collective term *adventive* synonymously. *Naturalized* refers to aliens with free-living, self-sustaining populations.

While we adopt the same definition of *Europe* used in DAISIE and Fauna Europaea, we exclude the Macaronesian islands, preferring to focus on continental Europe (including Ireland and the United Kingdom). Consequently, we consider the Canary Island endemic *Dactylotrypes longicollis* to be alien to Europe, and we do not treat the alien species found on the Azores (Bright 1987) but not elsewhere in Europe.

For brevity, in taxonomic contexts, we use *bark beetle* to include both *Scolytinae* and the closely related *Platypodinae*. *Ambrosia beetles* cultivate symbiotic fungi on the walls of their tunnel systems, which fungi are the sole food of larvae and adults. All *Platypodinae* are ambrosia beetles, as are many genera of *Scolytinae*.

Sources of data. Our starting point for listing alien bark beetles was the European database for alien organisms DAISIE (Delivering Alien Invasive Species Inventories for Europe). The DAISIE project encompasses over 11,000 species of all types of or-

ganisms, and is meant to be a central clearing house for information on biological invasions in Europe, and the database is continually updated. The geographic and taxonomic information in DAISIE is intended to play a key role in future national and international efforts to monitor and combat the spread of harmful non-native organisms. This information comes in two forms, the web-based database (DAISIE 2009a) and in lists in the recently published handbook of alien species (DAISIE 2009b).

In addition to the DAISIE website, we consulted NOBANIS (The North European and Baltic Network on Invasive Alien Species), a “Gateway to information on invasive alien species in North and Central Europe”. For further distributional data on scolytine and platypodine beetles in Europe we employed Fauna Europaea (Knížek 2004), the definitive database for scientific names of animals in Europe (native and non-native). These are the primary online resources available to the public, and likely the primary sources of information on European alien bark beetles outside of the scientific literature.

We also searched ISI Web of KnowledgeSM (and Internet more generally), but quickly found that almost none of the literature on alien bark beetles can be found by searching the web. The sources for the data in DAISIE are not given. To investigate the validity of the records available in the online databases, we searched the literature at our disposal, including the world catalog for bark beetles (Wood and Bright 1992), general works on the bark beetle fauna of Europe, country treatments, and papers with individual species records. We also availed ourselves of the generous advice and information from colleagues throughout Europe (see Acknowledgments), and of personal knowledge.

Treatments of data. We have attempted to classify the phase of establishment of each species (Table 1), given the collection localities and dates which are available in the literature. Phases range from Phase 1 (newly collected or intercepted, no evidence of establishment) to Phase 5 (apparently distributed throughout currently suitable habitat in Europe). (Since this paper focuses on aliens for which there is evidence of establishment, we do not treat species which are in Phase 1.) We did not feel that enough was known about alien bark beetle populations (in particular, about local abundances) to apply the Stages system of Colautti and MacIsaac (2004), but acknowledge its value.

Problems with data quality. As we quickly discovered to our dismay, literature documenting the discovery and spread of alien species is scattered and mostly published in obscure and difficult to obtain journals and newsletters, in a bewildering variety of languages: few of these publications are peer reviewed and almost none indexed in ISI Web of KnowledgeSM. Much of the knowledge of new discoveries seems to have been transmitted by word of mouth, in Europe.

Adding to the confusion is the fact that old names die hard. Much of the literature on introduced species promulgates names used in the original papers but which are no longer used. This is especially true of review papers and invasive species databases.

Many articles lack information on who identified the specimen(s) and what criteria were used. New locality records (even country records) seem to occasionally be based

Table 1. The population phases which we apply to alien species in Europe.

Stage	Population level in Europe	Examples of evidence (not exhaustive)
Phase 1	Interception, recently arrived (no evidence of establishment)	Collected from imported plant material; trapped at port or near imported logs; unique, old literature records
Phase 2	local colony persisting	One area: many specimens; repeated collections; collections in natural forests far from ports of entry
Phase 3	>1 colony, not spreading.	Disjunct populations, but no sign of expanding
Phase 4	more than one large colony, spreading	Disjunct populations: Well established in several areas and still spreading
Phase 5	established throughout suitable habitats	Distributed throughout region with currently suitable climate and host plants

on similarity with a species which is known to be in nearby countries, or based on old, incomplete keys; both methods can easily lead to mistakes in difficult taxa, such as *Hypothenemus* or *Coccotrypes*, which only experienced specialists can identify with any degree of confidence. Almost never is information on the deposition of voucher specimens stated; to confirm the identity of the species, one must try to find and contact an author in order to locate specimens.

Results and discussion

Which alien species are established in Europe?

The species present. There are 19 alien species established in continental Europe, according to our sources (Table 2). One of these, *Megaplatypus mutatus*, is a platypodine; the remainder are scolytines. Of these 19, we classify 14 as potentially expanding (Phases 2 – 4), 5 as probably currently spreading (Phases 3 – 4). All but one are considered naturalized: *X. morigerus* is not known to have established populations in the wild, but seems to have a permanent presence in European greenhouses.

Nine of our 19 species are not classified as established aliens in DAISIE. We explain their inclusion here briefly. Five on our list are classified by DAISIE as “status unknown”. In two, this is probably due to simple “coding errors”: there is no doubt that widely distributed species as (1) *C. bodoanum* and (2) *H. eruditus* are well-established aliens. That three more restricted species are established aliens is less widely known. (3) *Phloeosinus rudis* was collected in 1940 from *Thuja japonica* branches in St. Tropez (Hoffman 1942), suggesting that there was a breeding population in France at that time. The fate of this colony is not known, nor are there any subsequent records of the species from France. However, this species along with *P. aubei* (a Mediterranean species with similar biology) have recently been reported killing ornamental *Thuja occidentalis*, *Chamaecyparis* and *Juniperus chinensis* in the Netherlands (Moraal 2005, 2006). (4)

Table 2. The alien Scolytinae and Platypodinae of Europe, and the countries in which they are established. First: first record or first publication. Phase: phase of colonization, see Table 1.

Species	Established in countries	First	Phase	Notes, References
* <i>Ambrosiodmus rubricollis</i> (Eichhoff)	IT	2008	2	Faccoli et al. 2009.
* <i>Ambrosiophilus atratus</i> (Eichhoff)	IT	2007	2	Faccoli 2008, locally established.
<i>Coccotrypes dactyliperda</i> (Fabricius)	ES, FR, GR, HU (cultivated palms), IT, MA	1884	5	First mention is Eichhoff 1878 and 1881, also in Reitter 1913: from shops with imported dates and betelnut—no mention of established populations in Europe. ES, Garcia-Tejero 1955, definitely well established along coast. FR, Balachowsky 1949, common along coast. GR, Vasilaina-Alexopoulou et al. 1986, established. HU, György and Podlussány 2005, apparently in cultivated palms. IT, Targioni-Tozzetti 1884, established in Tuscany (earliest European record). MA, Mifsud and Knížek 2009. This species is widespread in N Africa.
* <i>Cyclorhipidion bodoanum</i> (Reitter)	BE, CH, DE, FR, IT, NE	1960	4	BE, Henin and Nageleisen 2005. DE, CH, Köhler 1992. DE, FR, Schott and Callot 1994, Bense and Schott 1995, Schott 2004 (as <i>Xyleborus peregrinus</i>). First record Alsace, 1960. IT, Audisio et al. 2008. NE, Vorst et al. 2008. AT: Knížek 2004 and DAISIE. But according to Hannes Krehan, Austrian Inst. for Forest Protection, there are no official records in AT.
<i>Dactylotrypes longicollis</i> (Wollaston)	CA, ES, FR, IT	1949	4	ES, Lombardero and Novoa 1994. FR, Balachowsky 1949, date seeds intercepted in New York, originating in “France”; Bovey (1987), 1 st France record 1955. IT, Sampò and Olmi 1975. CA, Whitehead et al. 2000. Spreading in Mediterranean, where it is probably currently confused with <i>C. dactyliperda</i> .
* <i>Dryocoetes himalayensis</i> Strohmeier	CH, FR	2009	4	Knížek, unpub., CH & FR, established.

Species	Established in countries	First	Phase	Notes, References
<i>Gnathotrichus materiarius</i> (Fitch)	BE, CH, CZ, DE, ES, FI, FR, IT, NE, SE	1933	5	BE, Moucheron and Warzee 2006; CH, von Hirschheydt 1992 (1984). CZ, Knížek 2009. DE, Schedl 1966; Gladitsch 1969 (1964). ES, established, López et al. 2007 (2003). FI, Valkama et al. 1997 (1996). FR, Balachowsky 1949 (1933 was 1 st Eur record). IT, Faccoli 1998 (1998). NE, Schedl 1966; Doom 1967 (1965). SE, Gillerfors 1988. MA, Mifsud and Knížek 2009, in ornamental <i>Ficus</i> .
* <i>Hypocryphalus scabricollis</i> (Eichhoff)	MA	1991		
* <i>Hypothenemus eruditus</i> Westwood	ES, FR, IT, MA	1924	5	Eichhoff 1878, 1881, no mention of European populations. ES, García-Tejero 1955, established. FR, Balachowsky 1949 (not widespread, then). IT, Ragusa 1924. MA, Mifsud and Knížek 2009. Balachowsky 1949 says it is in ES, IT. Pfeffer 1995, throughout the Mediterranean.
<i>Megaplatypus mutatus</i> (Chapuis) ^a	IT	2000	2	IT, Tremblay et al. 2000. Kills poplars.
* <i>Monarthrum mali</i> (Fitch)	IT	2008	2	IT, Kirkendall et al. 2008. Probably established, but only one collection.
* <i>Phloeosinus rudis</i> Blandford	FR, NE	1940	3	FR, Hoffman 1942 found many in branches of <i>Thuja japonica</i> , in Var, St. Tropez, in June 1940. Balachowsky cites this. No recent finds. NE, Moraal 2006 and email: apparently locally established, along with <i>Phloeosinus aubei</i> . Kills <i>Thuja occidentalis</i> , <i>Chamaecyparis</i> and <i>Juniperus chinensis</i> . At least <i>rudis</i> is probably established, <i>aubei</i> might be too.
<i>Phloeotribus liminaris</i> (Harris)	IT	2004	2	IT (only), Pennacchio et al. 2004.
<i>Xyleborinus attenuatus</i> (Blandford) ^b	AT, CH, CZ, DE, ES, NE, PL, SE, RU, SK, UN	1987	5	AT: Holzschuh 1990 (oldest specimen 1986). CH, not in Bovey 1987; Kenis et al. 2005 ("C. Besuchet, pers. comm."). CZ, Knížek 1988 (1 st Eur record). DE, Lohse 1991. ES, Lombardero 1998. NE, Vorst et al. 2008. PL, Lohse 1991. SE, Lindelöw et al. 2006. Western Russia, Ukraine, Nikulina et al. 2007. SK, Knížek 1988.

Species	Established in countries	First	Phase	Notes, References
<i>Xyleborus affinis</i> Eichhoff	AT	2006	3	HU: found in imported <i>Dracaena</i> , no recent records (Merkel Otto, email, Merkel and Tusnadi 1992). IT, regularly in imported <i>Dracaena</i> , e.g. Carrai 1992. AT, “rare”, introd. 2006: AliensAustria 2007 (Holzer 2007, 1 in Malaise trap).
* <i>Xyleborus pfeilii</i> (Ratzeburg)	AT, BG, CH, CA, CZ, DE, ES, FR, HU, IT, PL, SI, SK, UN	1837	5	Infrequently collected, but widespread in Europe and N. Africa. AT & DE, “Gallia”, Eichhoff 1878. BG, 1934 specimens seen by Lombardero (1996). CH, Bovey (1987), not reported since 1898. DE, described from DE by Ratzeburg 1837. ES, Lombardero 1996 did not find, but she cites Kleine 1913 for ES. More widespread in FR (Balachowsky 1949) and AT (Schedl 1980). HU, is in Endrödi 1959. IT, Francardi et al. 2006. PL, is in Nunberg 1954. ES, DE, AT, FR: Reitter 1916, Fauna Germanica. Almost all central and southern European countries, Knížek 2004. Pfeffer 1995: AT, DE, FR, CZ, PL, UN, HU, CA, SI, SK: given the wide distribution of the species, we treat these as records for establishment, though it is not clear if Pfeffer made this distinction.
<i>Xylosandrus crassiusculus</i> (Motschulsky)	IT	2003	2	IT, Pennacchio et al. 2003.
<i>Xylosandrus germanus</i> (Blandford)	AT, BE, CH, CZ, DE, ES, FR, IT, NE	1950	5	AT, Holzschuh 1993 (1 st record 1992). BE, Bruge 1995 (1994). CH, Bovey 1987 (1984). CZ, Knížek 2009. DE, Groschke 1953 (1950?). ES, established, López et al. 2007 (2003). FR, Schott 1994 (1984). IT, Stergulc et al. 1999 (1992). NE, Vorst et al. 2008.
<i>Xylosandrus morigerus</i> (Blandford)	AT, CZ, FR, IT, UK	1916	3	UK, FR, AT and CZ (Bohemia), Reitter 1916, as occurring in greenhouses on <i>Dendrobium</i> . FR, greenhouse orchids, Balachowsky 1949. UK (Kew Gardens), Rome, Wien (orchids) in greenhouses, Schedl 1980.

Country abbreviations: **AT** Austria; **BE** Belgium; **BG** Bulgaria; **CA** Croatia, **CH** Switzerland; **CZ** Czech Republic; **DE** Germany; **ES** Spain; **FI** Finland; **FR** France; **GR** Greek; **HU** Hungary; **IT** Italy; **MA** Malta; **NE** Nederland; **PL** Poland; **RU** Russia; **SE** Sweden; **SI** Slovenia; **SK** Slovakia; **UK** United Kingdom; **UN** Ukraine. ^aThe only Platypodinae; treated as *Platypus sulcatus* or *P. mutatus* in most earlier literature. ^bTreated as *Xyleborinus abni* (Nijima, 1909) in most literature. *Species not treated as established extra-European aliens in DAISIE.

Dryocoetes himalayensis is known only from the Himalayas of India; it has been collected over the past few years from both France and Switzerland (Knížek in press and pers. comm.). (5) *Ambrosiophilus atratus* was collected at one village in northeastern Italy in 2007 and 2008 in alcohol-baited traps (Faccoli 2008). The beetles clearly had overwintered successfully.

Xyleborus affinis is tentatively included in our list, because of the Malaise trap catch in Austria (Holzer 2007). As long as they are not near piles of imported logs, trap catches are strong evidence of a local, established population, and are now the main source of information on alien species in many regions around the world. This species is also possibly established in nurseries in Italy, where its presence in imported *Dracaena* stems seems to be constant (Carrai 1992), but it is also possible that these beetles are continuously imported and do not form reproducing populations. If it is indeed established in nurseries, its status in Italy would resemble that of *Xylosandrus morigerus* in Europe, a species with a long history of reproducing populations in orchids in greenhouses and which also is probably regularly being imported (Table 2).

Two ambrosia beetles on our list but not in DAISIE are only recently discovered: *Ambrosiodmus rubricollis* (Faccoli et al. 2009), and *Monarthrum mali* (Kirkendall et al. 2008). Large numbers of the former were collected from a live horse chestnut (*Aesculus hippocastaneum*) in the botanical gardens of Padua (Apr. 2009), and from peach trees (*Prunus persicae*) close to Verona (Oct. 2009), both in northeastern Italy. A single *Monarthrum mali* was trapped in a nature reserve in northeastern Italy in 2007. Given that the species is not often trapped even where it is common and indigenous (in eastern North America), and the remote locality, this species is considered to be established (Kirkendall et al. 2008).

The last species on our list of alien species, *Xyleborus pfeilii*, is currently considered to be indigenous. This ambrosia beetle is considered rare but found in much of Europe as well as in northern Africa and Turkey (Wood and Bright 1992, Pfeffer 1995); it is also established in both eastern and western North America (Vandenberg et al. 2000, LaBonte et al. 2005). Morphologically, it apparently belongs to the *volvulus-perforans* group of species (most of which are probably Asian in origin); it is not similar to any of the species of *Xyleborus* native to Europe. Furthermore, unlike *Trypodendron laeve* (see below), it shows a clearly disjunct distribution, with what we consider to be the native populations being in southern China, Japan, and Korea (Wood and Bright 1992). We suggest that this species was introduced to Europe from trade with the Far East, and spread so widely that the earliest bark beetle specialists (e.g. Eichhoff 1878) assumed it was part of the native fauna.

Finally, there is one species which we did not include but which may have recently made its first inroads into Europe. The highly aggressive Asian larch bark beetle *Ips subelongatus* (Motschulsky) has long been considered synonymous with the European larch bark beetle *Ips cembrae* (Heer) (Wood and Bright 1992) but is geographically and genetically distinct and carries different strains of blue-stain fungus (Stauffer et al. 2001). The two can be distinguished morphologically by specialists familiar with both species. Both species breed normally in larch (*Larix*), but are occasionally

found breeding in alternative hosts. *Ips subelongatus* was intercepted in Finland in logs from Siberia and in Estonia in timber from Russia (Voolma et al. 2004). Larch bark beetles were taken from spruces around St. Petersburg and more recently have been collected from pines in the Murmansk province (Voolma et al. 2004), which is outside the natural range of larch. Given the regional trade patterns, it is possible that these are *Ips subelongatus*, but species identity has not been confirmed by taxonomists or DNA data.

Two species are listed by DAISIE (2009a) as established aliens which we classify differently. The record for *Xyleborus perforans* seems to be based on a one-time interception from imported logs, in Poland (Wojciech Solarz, pers. comm.). There is no evidence for Poland or elsewhere that this widespread tropical ambrosia beetle reproduces anywhere in Europe. *Trypodendron laeve* Eggers, on the other hand, we propose is actually native to Europe. This spruce-breeding ambrosia beetle is treated by DAISIE, NOBANIS, and in the recent forestry literature (e.g. Kenis 2005) as an alien species. The perception that it is exotic presumably arose because it was first described from Japan, and subsequently only known in the West from Norway, Sweden, and Austria (Pfeffer 1995). However, *T. laeve* is apparently rare; it remained unknown to science until 1939, when Eggers described it based on a five specimens from Japan, and only seven years later when Strand unknowingly described as *T. piceum* the same species from a single collection from near Oslo, Norway (Eggers 1939, Strand 1946). The accumulation of collection data reflected in DAISIE and Fauna Europaea (and in Knížek's upcoming Palaearctic Scolytinae catalog) reveals a species which has now been found throughout Europe and across Asia to Japan, much like more common conifer forest bark beetles such as *Ips typographus* or *Tomicus piniperda*. We see no reason to continue to consider this species to be alien to Europe.

The written list of aliens (DAISIE 2009b) includes 20 Scolytinae and 3 Platypodinae. Unlike the web version, these records do not specify status, so all records are presumably considered to be established species, and treated such in DAISIE's many analyses of terrestrial invertebrate or insect invasions. Of these 23 species, 13 are on this list as established aliens to Europe; one (*Phloeotribus caucasicus* Reitter) is a spreading European species; seven are interceptions (no evidence of breeding populations in Europe); and one we argue here is a native species (*Trypodendron laeve*). The net result is that where DAISIE (2009b) would include 23 species of Scolytinae and Platypodinae in analyses of established alien insects in Europe, we propose there are ca 25% fewer (19, only 13 of which are, in fact, listed by DAISIE as established aliens).

The genera present. Clearly, a wide variety of bark beetles are capable being transported to Europe, and there is a surprisingly high diversity which have succeeded in colonizing the continent: the 18 alien species comprise 16 different genera (15 of Scolytinae, 1 of Platypodinae), of which only five are present in the native fauna. Only two genera, *Xylosandrus* and *Xyleborus*, are represented by more than one exotic species; the Xyleborini (these two, plus *Ambrosiodmus*, *Ambrosiophilus*, *Cyclorhipidion*, and *Xyleborinus*) make up half of all adventive species.

When did they arrive?

The precise date of arrival in Europe is not known for most species because the introductions of bark beetles (and of most animals) are unintentional, and up to several decades can go by before newly established exotics – especially those which are not pests – are noticed (Kenis et al. 2007, Mattson et al. 2007, Roques et al. 2009). The first reference to the presence of an alien scolytine in Europe is the description almost two centuries ago of the east Asian ambrosia beetle *Xyleborus pfeilii* as a European species (Ratzeburg 1837), followed by reports of the topical seed beetle *Coccotrypes dactyliperda* in Italy (Targioni-Tozzetti 1884). Only nine more new alien species were found in the next 115 years, though at least one of these (the tiny, highly polyphagous but harmless *H. eruditus* first recorded by Ragusa in 1924) could well have been present much longer. The successful establishment of exotics seems now be accelerating (Fig. 1), despite greater international awareness of the dangers posed by wood packing materials (FAO 2002) and stricter regulation of plant trade: fully 8/19 aliens have been reported in the last decade (Table 2). The establishment rate in Europe of new alien species of insects (and of terrestrial invertebrates generally) has increased markedly in the last thirty years (Hulme et al. 2009).

How were they transported?

Many wood-boring insects, particularly scolytine and platypodine beetles, are transported between continents. While the majority of introductions of alien insects to Europe is via trade in ornamental plants (Kenis et al. 2007, Roques et al. 2009), bark beetles mainly travel in wood and in wooden packing materials such as crating, dunnage and pallets (Haack 2001, Allen and Humble 2002, Colunga-Garcia et al. 2009, Haack and Petrice 2009). Only a few are likely to be transported in plants or plant parts. The cut stems of *Dracaena* which are shipped to Europe from Central America frequently are infested with tropical *Xyleborus* species, the seeds and nuts with *Coccotrypes*, *Dactylotrypes*, and *Hypothenemus*, and the orchids with *Xylosandrus morigerus*; *Hypocryphalus scabricollis* probably entered Malta with exotic *Ficus* trees from southern Asia (Mifsud and Knížek 2009).

Biology of Europe's alien bark beetles

Whether or not alien insects succeed in establishing breeding populations depends on a number of factors, including suitability of local climate and hosts, appropriate phenology, and the effects of potential competitors and natural enemies. Immigrants which are host generalists or which use host species which are abundant and widespread where they have arrived should have a good chance of establishing permanent populations, given appropriate climatic conditions.




Figure 1. The accelerating rate of discovery of introduced Scolytinae and Platypodinae in Europe, shown as numbers of new species found in each 30-year period (data from Table 2).

Niche breadth. The vast majority of bark beetles (particularly phloeophagous species) are monophagous, breeding in one genus of host plants, or oligophagous, breeding in one family of host plants (Beaver 1979, Kirkendall 1983). These breed in one species of woody plant, several species in one genus, or in several related genera of hosts. Strikingly, all but four of the established aliens of mainland Europe are polyphagous (breeding in several to many families of woody plants). Two-thirds of the established alien Scolytinae and Platypodinae are ambrosia beetles, a much higher proportion than would be found in the source faunas of Asia or North America (Kirkendall 1993). Ambrosia beetles are most often polyphagous (Beaver 1979, Kirkendall 1983), and lack of host specificity is considered to be a major reason why they are so successful as invaders (Atkinson et al. 1990, Kirkendall et al. 2008). Of those species with more restricted diets, two breed in palm seeds, an abundant resource all around the Mediterranean, one in Fagaceae (a dominant family in much of Europe), and one in widely planted fruit trees (Table 3).

Importance of reproductive system. Particularly important to recently established, small populations are Allee effects, the acute demographic, ecological and genetic problems posed by low densities (Lande 1988, Courchamp et al. 2008). Single small populations are always at risk of extinction from random local disasters, and if they arose from large outbreeding populations they will usually suffer from inbreeding depression. Mate location can also lower the reproductive rate of small populations. Species which regularly mate by brother-sister mating, however, circumvent many of these problems: mating takes place among siblings, before dispersal, and regular inbreeders presumably suffer much less from inbreeding depression than do outbreeders (Jordal et al. 2001, Frankham et al. 2004, Kirkendall and Jordal 2006). Eleven (58%) of the immigrant species are inbreeders (Table 3), which is roughly twice as high as the

Table 3. Source and biology of alien bark beetles of Europe. Data from sources in Table 2, Wood (1982), Kirkendall (1983) and Wood and Bright (1992).

Species	Native to	Additional distribution	Zone	Feeds/Breeds	Host use
<i>Ambrosiodmus rubricollis</i>	east Asia	eastern North America, Australia	T	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous, broadleaf trees
<i>Ambrosiophilus atratus</i>	east Asia	North America	T	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous, broadleaf trees
<i>Coccotrypes dactyliperda</i>	? (Old World)	globally distributed, tropics & subtropics	M	Spm/inbreeding	Polyphagous, mainly palm seeds in Europe
<i>Cyclorhipidion bodoanum</i>	north Asia	North America	T	Xm/inbreeding	Oligophagous, Fagaceae
<i>Dactylotrypes longicollis</i>	Canary Islands	Madeira, North Africa	M	Spm/outbreeding	Oligaphagous, palm seeds
<i>Dryocoetes himalayensis</i>	India		T	Phl/outbreeding	Polyphagous, <i>Juglans regia</i> , <i>Pyrus lanata</i>
<i>Gnathotrichus materiarius</i>	eastern N. America		T	Xm/outbreeding	Polyphagous, conifers
<i>Hypocryphalus scabricollis</i>	east Asia		M	Phl/outbreeding	Polyphagous, broadleaf trees
<i>Hypothenemus eruditus</i>	American tropics?	globally distributed, tropics & subtropics	M	Phl/inbreeding	Polyphagous
<i>Megaplatypus mutatus</i>	South America		M	Xm/outbreeding	Polyphagous, broadleaf trees
<i>Monarthrum mali</i>	eastern N. America		T	Xm/outbreeding	Polyphagous, broadleaf trees
<i>Phloeosinus rudis</i>	east Asia		T	Phl/outbreeding	Oligophagous, Cupressaceae
<i>Phloeotribus liminaris</i>	eastern US		M	Phl/outbreeding	Monophagous, <i>Prunus</i>
<i>Xyleborinus attenuatus</i>	east Asia	North America	T	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous, broadleaf trees
<i>Xyleborus affinis</i>	Neotropics?	globally distributed, tropics & subtropics	M	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous
<i>Xyleborus pfeilii</i>	east Asia	North America	T,M	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous, broadleaf trees in Europe*
<i>Xylosandrus crassiusculus</i>	tropical & subtropical Asia	globally distributed, tropics & subtropics	M	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous
<i>Xylosandrus germanus</i>	east Asia	North America	T	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous
<i>Xylosandrus morigerus</i>	Asian tropics?	globally distributed, tropics	gh	Xm/inbreeding	Polyphagous; in Europe, greenhouse orchids

Additional distribution: other foreign regions in which a species is now established. Zone: **T**, temperate zone of Europe; **M**, Mediterranean zone; **B**, boreal zone; **gh**, greenhouse populations. *Feeds:* **Xm**, xylomycetophagous (ambrosia beetle); **Phl**, phloeophagous, breeding in bark; **Spm**, spermatophagous, breeding in seeds (terminology from Wood 1982). **X. pfeilii* is highly polyphagous in conifers and broadleaf trees in Japan (Mizuno and Kajimura 2002) though the few host records in Europe are from *Alnus* and *Betula* (e.g. Balachowsky 1949).

proportion of the European bark beetle fauna which inbreeds (Kirkendall 1993). Inbreeding is also clearly over-represented in adventive bark beetles in North American (Wood 1977, Atkinson et al. 1990, Haack 2001). Of the 50 exotic species established in North America by the year 2000, 37 (74%) are inbreeders (Haack 2001). And, of the 62 North and Central American species recorded as introduced to or exported from foreign countries (Wood 1977), 45 (73%) inbreed. Supporting the importance of inbreeding in colonization, it should be noted that islands almost always have much higher proportions of inbreeding species than their source populations (Kirkendall 1993, Jordal et al. 2001).

Both inbreeding and polyphagy should favor invasiveness. Interestingly, 10/15 polyphagous species are inbreeders, and 10/11 inbreeders are polyphagous (Table 4).

Biogeography: Where are alien species established, and where did they come from?

Climatic zones of Europe. Though smaller in area, the Mediterranean zone is disproportionately rich in alien bark beetles (Table 3). Mediterranean ecosystems are particularly rich in biodiversity (Underwood et al. 2009) and have milder winters than elsewhere in Europe, two factors which might favor the establishment of newly arrived species. Only the oldest established exotic, *Xyleborus pfeilii*, is currently established in two different zones (temperate and Mediterranean). In Europe, as far as is known, the tropical ambrosia beetle *Xylosandrus morigerus* is restricted to greenhouses where it is a pest of orchids.

Country records. Although 22 European countries recorded exotic species, large differences exist among the numbers of alien insects recorded per country (Fig. 2). Italy, France and Spain have the largest numbers of alien species (14, 10 and 7, respectively); for the former two countries, this corresponds to about 10% of their national bark beetle fauna (Balachowsky 1949, Abbazzi et al. 1995). Over half of these countries recorded only one or two alien scolytines and platypodines.

The great differences among countries could be due to several reasons. The number of alien insects is positively correlated with country surface area (Roques et al., 2009). Furthermore bark beetles show a latitudinal gradient in species richness: the number of alien scolytines and platypodines generally decreases with the increasing latitude (Kirkendall 1993), probably because of harsher winters and reduced host diversity. Besides having favorable temperatures, the southern countries (Italy, France and Spain) also have a wide variety of ecosystems, ranging from Mediterranean to mountain and alpine, and of climate regimes, leading to high diversity of woody plants and of ecological conditions.

Table 4. The relationship between feeding habits and reproductive systems, for alien Scolytinae and Platypodinae established in Europe. Data from Table 3.

Reproduction type	Polyphagous	Not polyphagous
Inbreeding	10	1
Outbreeding	5	3

While some of the differences between countries are real – Sweden does have fewer invasives than Switzerland – others are due to under-reporting at the country level; certainly, many of the differences among countries are due to differences in collecting effort and to the presence (or absence) of researchers with a special interest for *Scolytinae* and *Platypodinae*. Many sub-Scandinavia European countries are represented by zero or few records of alien bark beetle species but do have the requisite habitats. We found it particularly difficult to find detailed information on the bark beetle faunas of Portugal, eastern Europe, the Balkan countries, and countries of the eastern Mediterranean. Alien species doubtlessly can be found in these areas. The true ranges of alien bark beetles will not be known as long as there remain such gaps in our knowledge.

Unfortunately, here, too, the publicly available information on alien species in Europe is largely incorrect. Only for those recent arrivals established only in Italy are the country records in DAISIE accurate. Even species which have been established for over half a century and are well studied are not correctly reported in DAISIE: for both *Gnathotrichus materiarius* and *Xylosandrus germanus*, we can document at least three country occurrences missing from DAISIE.

The data in Fauna Europaea are similarly flawed. Three species are missing from the database, four country occurrences (for three species) cannot be verified, and country records are incomplete for most alien species, including for *G. materiarius* (2 missing) and *X. germanus* (3).

Where are the exotics from? By far, the vast majority of recent interceptions of non-indigenous plant pests in European countries are from Asia or from Europe, with an order of magnitude fewer interceptions originating in North America (Roques and Auger-Rozenberg 2006, Mattson et al. 2007). Established alien bark beetles are not as skewed with respect to geographic origin: the majority (12/19) are known or suspected to be native to Asia, but fully six are from the Americas. Of course, geographic origin and origin of immigrant populations can be two different things: five species are globally distributed, five Asian species are also established in North America, and the Canary Island endemic is well established on Madeira and in Morocco (Kirkendall, unpublished data). In most cases, whether Asian species were introduced from Asia or from invasive populations in the New World cannot easily be determined without detailed DNA studies.

The tropical affinities of one-third of the species (Table 3) might come as a surprise to some. However, all but *X. morigerus* range into temperate climes – and that one exception is only found in greenhouses, in Europe.

Taxonomy and invasives

Increasingly, governments at all levels realize the severity of threat posed by alien insects, and national and international programs have been set in motion throughout the world to address the problem (e.g. McNeely et al. 2001, DAISIE 2009a). However, though often not fully appreciated, correct identification of newly en-




Figure 2. The numbers of alien bark beetles and pinhole borers per European country (data from Table 2).

countered exotic species bedevils many such efforts. As an example, the correct identification of the now well-established ambrosia beetle *Cyclorhipidion bodoanum* took over three decades and confounded bark beetle specialists on two continents simultaneously. In 1975, Steve Wood described *Xyleborus californicus* from specimens collected in northern California in 1944 (Wood 1975); he stated that this species was almost certainly exotic and probably from South America or south-eastern Asia. The latter suggestion was supported when a specimen of *X. californicus* from China was intercepted in Vancouver (Vandenberg et al. 2000). That *Xyleborus californicus* might actually be *C. bodoanum* was suggested subsequently (M. Mandelshtam pers. comm., quoted in Rabaglia et al. 2006); the synonymy will be published by Knížek (pers. comm.) and has been independently verified by the senior author. Meanwhile, in Europe, an invasion by the same ambrosia beetle was initially misidentified as being *Xyleborus peregrinus* Eggers 1944 (which species actually is a synonym of *Xyleborinus saxesenii*); this later was corrected to *X. punctulatus* Kurentzov, which name was later shown to be a junior synonym of *X. bodoanus* (Mandelshtam 2001). That *X. bodoanus* is actually a *Cyclorhipidion* was recognized recently (Bussler 2006). Only now, over a half century since having invaded two continents, does this oriental species appear to be conclusively identified. As illustrated by this example, even specialists are often stymied when introduced species are from Asia, for which we generally lack the most basic tools for species-level identification (keys and high quality illustrations), and for where only a few working bark beetle taxonomists have access to representative material.

Taxonomy plays a fundamental but often underappreciated or overlooked role in strategies for monitoring, intercepting, and managing both exotic and indigenous organisms, including wood borers. Phytosanitary efforts to monitor or control new invasive species will fail without correct taxonomic and biogeographic information (and the latter is dependent on the former). Cryptic species often differ in key elements of their biology, such as in phenology, host preferences, pheromone behavior, susceptibil-

ity to natural enemies (including diseases), and in the species or strains of microorganisms which they carry with them. When such differences exist between look-alike species, control measures will often be ineffective if the species is misidentified. For example, similar appearing species may originate from different regions; incorrect identification in such an instance could lead to fruitless searches for key biological control agents. Occasionally, taxonomists themselves have overlooked minute morphological differences between sister species, but more often the incorrect identifications are by nonspecialists relying on published databases, keys, and illustrations rather than on consultation with taxonomic experts (Knížek 2007). On the other hand, experts are reluctant (or unable) to invest time in “routine identifications” involving thousands or tens of thousands of specimens of abundant pest species.

The taxonomic impediment is often three-fold: difficult access to taxonomic specialists; poor taxonomic knowledge of the group involved; lack of user-friendly keys and illustrations. Taxonomic specialists are few and overworked; quarantine agencies, foresters and other instances must compete with taxonomists’ own research projects (and more and more with specimen-rich biodiversity surveys). Taxonomic knowledge can be inadequate in several ways: many genera of wood-boring insects (including scolytines and platypodines) have not been recently revised (some, never so); for some regions of the world, the wood-boring fauna is poorly known; and for some species groups which are highly successful as colonists, species-boundaries and proper nomenclature are inadequately understood. Finally, even where the wood-borers are fairly well known and keys do exist (e.g. Central America), for many genera the keys can only be used by specialists with access to reference material; illustrations sufficient for species-level identification (drawings or high-resolution photographs) exist only for a very limited number of species groups or genera.

A way out of this impasse is two-fold: use of adequate photographic documentation of subtle morphological differences, especially when coupled with expert intelligence software for developing illustration-rich keys; and the development of inexpensive molecular methods (fragment profile- or sequence-based) for separating species difficult to identify by morphology (DNA barcoding). Fortunately, tools for both are becoming increasingly well known and more widely accessible, as are possibilities to publish new finds rapidly via highly accessible electronic journals. Consequently, we are already seeing that new discoveries are being documented, identified, illustrated and published much more rapidly.

In the future there will be more and more Asian wood-borers colonizing Europe and North America. Currently there are no modern resources for identifying bark beetles from Asia, the Orient, or Oceania. What is needed is the methodical, thorough monographic work which Steve Wood was so good at, preferably including DNA sequencing. Until we have monographs for China, Southeast Asia, and Oceania – and the young taxonomic talents capable of applying them – many future immigrants will long remain enigmas.

Acknowledgments

This paper would not have been possible without the generous cooperation of our many European and American colleagues who responded promptly to our requests for information and research articles: Tom Atkinson, Maria Louisa Dal Cortivo, Arturo Goldarazena, Jean-Marc Henin, Miloš Knížek, Frank Köhler, Åke Lindelöw, Maria Josefa Lombardero, Sergio Lopez, Michail Mandelshtam, Leen Moraal, Merkl Otto, Alain Roques, Wojciech Solarz, Christian Stauffer, Oscar Vorst. Robert Rabaglia provided specimens of *Xyleborus californicus*. Finally, we thank two anonymous reviewers and the editor for improvements to the text. MF's work has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement n° 212459 PRATIQUE. The views in this paper do not necessarily reflect the European Commission's views and in no way anticipate the Commission's future policy in this area.

References

- Abbazzi P, Colonnelli E, Masutti L, Osella G (1995) Coleoptera Polyphaga XVI (Curculionidae). In: Minelli A, Ruffo S, La Posta S (Eds) Checklist delle specie della fauna italiana, 61. Calderini, Bologna, 68 pp.
- Allen EA, Humble LM (2002) Nonindigenous species introductions: a threat to Canada's forests and forest economy. *Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology* 24: 103–110.
- Asner GP, Hughes RF, Vitousek PM, Knapp DE, Kennedy-Bowdoin T, Boardman J, Martin RE, Eastwood M, Green RO (2008) Invasive plants transform the three-dimensional structure of rain forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105: 4519–4523.
- Atkinson TH, Rabaglia RJ, Bright DE (1990) Newly detected exotic species of *Xyleborus* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) with a revised key to species in eastern North America. *Canadian Entomologist* 122: 93–104.
- Audisio P, Cornacchia P, Fattorini L, Franceschi S, Gatti E, Hardersen S, Leseigneur L, Nardi G, Penati F, Platia G (2008) Selected beetle families in natural forests and Norway spruce stands at Vincheto di Celarda Nature Reserve and the effects of conservation actions (Coleoptera). In: Hardersen S, Mason F, Viola F, Campedel D, Lasen C, Cassol M (Eds) Research on the natural heritage of the Reserves Vincheto di Celarda and Val Tovanello (Belluno province, Italy). Conservation of two protected areas in the context of a LIFE project. Quaderni Conservazione Habitat, 5. Arti Grafiche Fiorini, Verona, 195–217.
- Balachowsky A (1949) Coléoptères Scolytidae. Librairie de la Faculte des Sciences, Paris, 320 pp.
- Beaver RA (1979) Host specificity of temperate and tropical animals. *Nature* 281: 139–141.
- Bense U, Schott C (1995) Zum bisher bekannten Vorkommen des Borkenkäfers *Xyleborus peregrinus* Eggers 1944 in Baden-Württemberg und im Elsass (Coleoptera, Scolytidae). *Mitteilungen Entomologischer Verein Stuttgart* 1869 e.V. 30: 55–60.

- Bovey P (1987) Coleoptera Scolytidae, Platypodidae. Société entomologique suisse, Zürich, 96 pp.
- Bright DE (1987) A review of the Scolytidae (Coleoptera) of the Azores with description of a new species of *Phloeosinus*. Museu Municipal Funchal 107: 1–5.
- Bright DE, Skidmore RE (1997) A Catalog of Scolytidae and Platypodidae (Coleoptera), Supplement 1 (1990–1994). NRC Research Press, Ottawa: 368 pp.
- Bright DE, Skidmore RE (2002) A Catalog of Scolytidae and Platypodidae (Coleoptera), Supplement 2 (1995–1999). NRC Research Press, Ottawa, 523 pp.
- Bruge H (1995) *Xylosandrus germanus* (Blandford, 1894) (Belg. Sp. nov.) (Coleoptera Scolytidae). Bull. Ann. Soc. Royale Belge Ent. 131: 249–264.
- Bussler H (2006) Der ‘Fremde’ - eine nicht erkannte sibirisch-nordasiatische Art (Coleoptera, Scolytidae). Nachrichtenblatt der Bayerischen Entomologen 55: 29.
- Carrai C (1992) *Xyleborus affinis* Eichh. su tronchetti di *Dracaena* di importazione. Informatore Fitopatologico 10: 27–30.
- Colautti RI, Bailey SA, van Overdijk CDA, Amundsen K, MacIsaac HJ (2006) Characterised and projected costs of nonindigenous species in Canada. Biological Invasions 8: 45–59.
- Colautti RI, MacIsaac HJ (2004) A neutral terminology to define ‘invasive’ species. Diversity and Distributions 10: 135–141.
- Colunga-Garcia M, Haack RA, Adelaja AO (2009) Freight transportation and the potential for invasions of exotic insects in urban and periurban forests of the United States. Journal of Economic Entomology 102: 237–246.
- Courchamp F, Berec L, Gascoigne J (2008) Allee Effects in Ecology and Conservation. Oxford University Press, New York, x + 266 pp.
- DAISIE (2009a) DAISIE European Invasive Alien Species Gateway. <http://www.europe-aliens.org>. [accessed 12 October 2009].
- DAISIE (2009b) Handbook of alien species in Europe. Springer, Dordrecht, xviii + 400 pp.
- Doom D (1967) *Gnathotrichus materiarius*, a timber beetle new to Netherlands. Entomologische Berichten, Amsterdam 27: 143–148.
- Eggers H (1939) Japanische Borkenkäfer, II. Arbeiten über Morphologische und Taxonomische Entomologie 6: 114–123.
- Eichhoff W (1878) Ratio, descriptio, emendatio eorum Tomicinorum qui sunt Dr. medic. Cha-puisi et autoris ipsius collectionibus et quos praeterea recognovit. 8: i–iv, 1–528, 525 plates.
- Eichhoff W (1881) Die Europäischen Borkenkäfer (Les Xylophages d’Europe, transl. to French by Ch. Leprieur). L’Abeille 27: 1–156.
- Elton CS (1958) The ecology of invasions by animals and plants. Methuen, London, UK, 181 pp.
- Endrödi S (1959) Fauna Hungariae, Coleoptera V, 9. Fuzct: Szubogarak (Scolytoidea). Szubogarak—Scolytidae. X. kotet (Coleoptera V, Strepsiptera) 9. fuzet. Magyarorszag Allutvilago, Fauna Hungariae, x/9, Budapest, 96 pp.
- Faccoli M (1998) The North American *Gnathotrichus materiarius* (Fitch) (Coleoptera Scolytidae): an ambrosia beetle new to Italy. Redia, 81: 151–154.
- Faccoli M (2008) First record of *Xyleborus atratus* Eichhoff from Europe, with an illustrated key to the European Xyleborini (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). Zootaxa 1772: 55–62.

- Faccoli M, Frigimelica G, Mori N, Petrucco Toffolo E, Vettorazzo M, Simonato M (2009) First record of *Ambrosiodmus* (Hopkins, 1915) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae) in Europe. *Zootaxa* 2303: 57–60.
- FAO (2002) International standards for phytosanitary practices: guidelines for regulating wood packaging material in international trade. FAO Publication 15, Rome, 17 pp.
- Francardi V, De Silva J, Pennacchio F, Roversi PF (2006) Bark and wood-boring beetles captured in traps baited with broad-spectrum attractants. *Redia* 89: 15–21.
- Frank JH, McCoy ED (1990) Endemics and epidemics of shibboleths and other things causing chaos. *Florida Entomologist* 73: 1–9.
- Frankham R, Ballou JD, Briscoe DA (2004) *A Primer of Conservation Genetics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, xii + 220 pp.
- Garcia-Tejero FD (1955) Escolítidos españoles de interés agrícola. *Bol. Pat. Ent. Agrl. (Madrid)* 22: 233–277.
- Gillerfors G (1988) Skalbagger införda til Sverige med importerad masseved. *Entomologisk Tidskrift* 109: 42–45.
- Gladitsch S (1969) Neue Beobachtungen über den eingeschleppten Scolytiden *Gnathotrichus materiarius* Fitch. *Mitteilungen Entomologischer Verein Stuttgart 1869 e.V.* 4: 76–78.
- Groschke F (1953) Der „Schwarze Nutzholzborkenkäfer“, eine neue Gefahr für Forstwirtschaft, Obst- und Weinbau. *Anzeiger Für Schädlingskunde* 6: 81–84.
- György Z, Podlussány A (2005) Notes on Curculionoidea of Hungary (Coleoptera: Anthribidae, Eirrhinidae, Curculionidae, Scolytidae). *Folia Entomologica Hungarica Rovartani Közlemények* 66: 57–62.
- Haack RA (2001) Intercepted Scolytidae (Coleoptera) at U.S. ports of entry: 1985–2000. *Integrated Pest Management Reviews* 6: 253–282.
- Haack RA (2006) Exotic bark- and wood-boring Coleoptera in the United States: recent establishments and interceptions. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36: 269–288.
- Haack RA, Petrice TR (2009) Bark- and wood-borer colonization of logs and lumber after heat treatment to ISPM 15 specifications: the role of residual bark. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 102: 1075–1084.
- Henin J, Nageleisen L (2005) Première observation de *Xyleborus peregrinus* Eggers, 1944 (Coleoptera Scolytidae) en Belgique. *Bulletin et Annales de la Société Royale Belge d'Entomologie* 141: 21–24.
- Hirschheydt J von (1992) Der amerikanische Nutzholzborkenkäfer *Gnathotrichus materiarius* (Fitch) hat die Schweiz erreicht. *Mitteilungen Der Schweizerischen Entomologischen Gesellschaft* 65: 33–37.
- Hoffman A (1942) Description d'un genre nouveau et observations diverses sur plusieurs espèces de Scolytidae (Col.) de la faune française. *Bulletin de la Société entomologique de France* 42: 72–74.
- Holzer (2007) Erstnachweise und Wiederfunde für die Käferfauna der Steiermark (X) (Coleoptera). *Joannea Zoologie* 9: 51–68.
- Holzschuh C (1990) Ein neuer, gefährlicher Nutzholzborkenkäfer in Österreich. *Forstschutz-Aktuell, Wien* 3: 2.

- Holzschuh C (1993) Erster Nachweis des Schwarzen Nutzholzborkenkäfers (*Xylosandrus germanus*) in Österreich. Forstschutz Aktuell 12: 10.
- Hulme PE, Roy DB, Cunha T, Larsson T-B (2009) A pan-European inventory of alien species: rationale, implementation and implications for managing biological invasions. In: DAISIE (Ed) Handbook of Alien Species in Europe. Springer, Dordrecht, 1–14.
- Humble LM, Allen EA (2006) Forest biosecurity: alien invasive species and vectored organisms. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 28: S256-S269.
- Jordal BH, Beaver RA, Kirkendall LR (2001) Breaking taboos in the tropics: inbreeding promotes colonization by wood-boring beetles. Global Ecology and Biogeography 10: 345–357.
- Kenis M (2005) Insects – Insecta. In: Wittenberg R (Ed) Invasive alien species in Switzerland. An inventory of alien species and their threat to biodiversity and economy in Switzerland. CABI Bioscience Switzerland Centre report to the Swiss Agency for Environment, Forests and Landscape. The environment in practice no. 0629. Federal Office for the Environment, Bern, 72–100.
- Kenis M, Auger-Rozenberg MA, Roques A, Timms L, Pere C, Cock M, Settele J, Augustin S, Lopez-Vaamonde C (2009) Ecological effects of invasive alien insects. Biological Invasions 11: 21–45.
- Kenis M, Rabitsch W, Auger-Rozenberg MA, Roques A (2007) How can alien species inventories and interception data help us prevent insect invasions? Bulletin of Entomological Research 97: 489–502.
- Kirkendall LR (1983) The evolution of mating systems in bark and ambrosia beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae and Platypodidae). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 77: 293–352.
- Kirkendall LR (1993) Ecology and evolution of biased sex ratios in bark and ambrosia beetles (Scolytidae). In: Wrensch DL, Ebbert MA (Eds) Evolution and Diversity of Sex Ratio: Insects and Mites. Chapman and Hall, New York, 235–345.
- Kirkendall LR, Dal Cortivo M, Gatti E (2008) First record of the ambrosia beetle, *Monarthrum mali* (Curculionidae, Scolytinae) in Europe. Journal of Pest Science 81: 175–178.
- Kirkendall LR, Jordal BH (2006) The bark and ambrosia beetles (Curculionidae, Scolytinae) of Cocos Island, Costa Rica and the role of mating systems in island zoogeography. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 89: 729–743.
- Kleine R (1913) Die geographische Verbreitung der Ipiden. Entomologische Blätter 9–10: 240–250.
- Knížek M (1988) *Xyleborus alni* Nijima, 1909. Acta Entomologica Bohemoslovaca 85: 396.
- Knížek M (2004) Fauna Europaea: Scolytinae. In: Alonso-Zarazaga MA (Ed) Fauna Europaea: Curculionidae. Fauna Europaea version 1.3. <http://www.faunaeur.org>. [accessed 23.III.2010]
- Knížek M (2007) Bark and ambrosia beetles in worldwide trade. In: Evans H, Oszako T (Ed) Alien Invasive Species and International Trade. Forest Research Institute, Warsaw, 101–104.
- Knížek M (2009) Faunistic records from Czech Republic – 272. Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae. Klapalekiana 45: 22.
- Köhler F (1992) Anmerkungen zur Käferfauna der Rheinprovinz vi. - Bemerkenswerte Neu- und Wiederfunde (Ins., Col.). Mitteilungen der Arbeitsgemeinschaft Rheinischer Koleopterologen 2: 123–130.

- LaBonte JR, Mudge AD, Johnson KJR (2005) Nonindigenous woodboring Coleoptera (Cerambycidae, Curculionidae: Scolytinae) new to Oregon and Washington, 1999–2002: Consequences of the intracontinental movement of raw wood products and solid wood packing materials. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 107: 554–564.
- Lande R (1988) Genetics and demography in biological conservation. *Science* 241: 1455–1460.
- Lindelöw Å, Jonsell M, Sjödin G (2006) *Xyleborinus alni* (Coleoptera; Curculionidae) - en ny barkborreart funnen i Sverige. *Entomologisk Tidskrift* 127: 97–99.
- Lohse GA (1991) 17. Nachtrag zum Verzeichnis mitteleuropäischer Käfer. *Entomologische Blätter für Biologie und Systematik der Käfer* 87: 92–98.
- Lombardero MJ (1996) Inventario dos Escolítidos de Galicia (Insecta: Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Seminario de Estudos Galegos. A Coruña, Sada, 37 pp.
- Lombardero MJ (1998) Primera cita de *Xyleborinus alni* (Niiijima, 1909) (Coleoptera, Scolytidae) para la península Ibérica. *Boletín de la Asociación Española de Entomología* 22: 244–245.
- Lombardero MJ, Novoa F (1994) Datos faunísticos sobre escolítidos ibéricos (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Boletín de la Asociación Española de Entomología* 18: 181–186.
- López S, Iturrondobeitia JC, Goldarazena A (2007) Primera cita en la Península Ibérica de *Gnathotrichus materiarius* (Fitch, 1858) y *Xylosandrus germanus* (Blandford, 1894) (Coleoptera: Scolytinae). *Boletín de la Sociedad Entomológica Aragonesa* 40: 527–532.
- Mandelshtam MJ (2001) New synonymy and new records of Palaearctic Scolytidae (Coleoptera). *Zoosystematica Rossica* 9: 203–204.
- Mattson W, Vanhanen H, Veteli T, Sivonen S, Niemela P (2007) Few immigrant phytophagous insects on woody plants in Europe: legacy of the European crucible? *Biological Invasions* 9: 957–974.
- McNeely JA, Mooney HA, Neville LE, Schei PJ, Waage JK (Eds) (2001) *Global Strategy on Invasive Alien Species*. IUCN, Gland, Switzerland,
- Merkel O, Tusnádi CK (1992) First introduction of *Xyleborus affinis* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Folia Entomologica Hungarica* 52: 67–72.
- Mifsud D, Knížek M (2009) The bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) of the Maltese Islands (Central Mediterranean). *Bulletin of the Entomological Society of Malta* 2: 25–52.
- Mizuno T, Kajimura H (2002) Reproduction of the ambrosia beetle, *Xyleborus pfeili* (Ratzeburg) (Col., Scolytidae), on semi-artificial diet. *Journal Of Applied Entomology* 126: 455–462.
- Moraal LG (2005) Thujabastkever nieuw fenomeen. *Tuin en Landschap* 27(12): 46–48.
- Moraal LG (2006) Insectenplagen op bomen en struiken in 2005. *Vakblad Natuur, Bos en Landschap* 3(7): 12–15.
- Moucheron B, Warzee N (2006) *Gnathotrichus materiarius* (Fitch, 1858): un scolyte nord-américain a surveiller, nouveau pour la faune Belge (Coleoptera, Scolytidae). *Lambillionea* 106: 610–612.
- Nikulina TV, Martynov VV, Mandelshtam MYu (2007) The first record of the bark beetle *Xyleborinus alni* (Coleoptera, Scolytidae) in the faunas of Ukraine and European Russia. *Vestnik zoologii* 41: 542 (in Russian).
- NOBANIS European Network on Invasive Alien Species. <http://www.nobanis.org>. [accessed 23.III.2010]

- Nunberg M (1954) Klucze do oznaczania owadów Polski, Cz. XIX Chrząszcze – Coleoptera, Zeszyt 99–100, Korniki – Scolytidae, Wyrynniki – Platypodidae. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 106 pp.
- Pennacchio F, Roversi PF, Francardi V, Gatti E (2003) *Xylosandrus crassiusculus* (Motschulsky) a bark beetle new to Europe. *Redia* 86: 77–80.
- Pennacchio F, Faggi M, Gatti E, Caronni F, Colombo M, Roversi PF (2004) First record of *Phloeotribus liminaris* (Harris) in Europe (Coleoptera Scolytidae). *Redia* 87: 85–89
- Pfeffer A (1995) Zental- und westpaläarktische Borken- und Kernkäfer (Coleoptera: Scolytidae, Platypodidae). *Entomologica Brasiliensia* 17: 5–310.
- Pimentel D, Zuniga R, Morrison D (2005) Update on the environmental and economic costs associated with alien-invasive species in the United States. *Ecological Economics* 52: 273–288.
- Rabaglia RJ, Dole SA, Cognato AI (2006) Review of American Xyleborina (Coleoptera : Curculionidae : Scolytinae) occurring North of Mexico, with an illustrated key. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 99: 1034–1056.
- Ragusa E (1924) Gli Ipidae della Sicilia. *Bollettino Società Entomologica Italiana* 56: 114–118.
- Ratzeburg JTC (1837) Die Forstinsekten oder Abbildung und Beschreibung der in den Wäldern Preussens und der Nachbarstaaten als schädlich oder nützlich bekannt gewordenen Insekten. Volume 1, Die Käfer, Nicolai, Berlin, x + 202 pp., 22 plates.
- Reitter E (1913) Bestimmungs-Tabelle der Borkenkäfer (Scolytidae) aus Europa und den angrenzenden Ländern. *Wiener Entomologische Zeitung* 32: 1–116.
- Reitter E (1916) Fauna Germanica, V Band. Die käfer des Deutschen Reiches. Nach der analytischen methode bearbeitet. K. G. Lutz, Stuttgart, 343 pp. + 16 plates.
- Roques A, Auger-Rozenberg MA (2006) Tentative analysis of the interceptions of non-indigenous organisms in Europe during 1995–2004. *EPPO Bulletin* 36: 490–496.
- Roques A, Rabitsch W, Rasplus J-Y, Lopez-Vaamonde C, Nentwig W, Kenis M (2009) Alien terrestrial invertebrates of Europe. In: DAISIE (Ed) *Handbook of Alien Species in Europe*. Springer, Dordrecht, 63–79.
- Sampò A, Olmi M (1975) Un nuovo nemico delle palme ornamentali: è arrivato in Italia il coleottero scolitide *Dactylotripes uyttenboogaarti* Eggers. *L'Italia Agricola* 112 (12): 102–105. *L'Italia Agricola* 112: 102–105.
- Schedl KE (1966) Ein für Deutschland und Holland neuer Borkenkäfer. *Anzeiger für Schädlingskunde* 39: 118–120.
- Schedl KE (1980) *Catalogus Faunae Austriae*. Teil XV y: Coleoptera. Fam. Scolytidae und Platypodidae. Österreichische Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, 39 pp.
- Schott C (1994) *Catalogue et Atlas des Coléoptères d'Alsace tome 6 Scolytidae*. Musée Zoologique de l'Université et de la Ville de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 85 pp.
- Schott C, Callot, H.J. (1994) Trois coléoptères scolytides nouveaux pour la faune de France observés en Alsace (*Xyleborus peregrinus* Eggers, *Lymanator aceris* Lindemann, *Phloeotribus caucasicus* Reitter; Col., Scolytidae). *Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de Mulhouse* 3: 67–70.
- Schott C (2004) Sur la répartition de *Xyleborus peregrinus* Eggers en France et en Allemagne (Coleoptera Scolytidae). *Bulletin de la Société entomologique de Mulhouse* 26: 1–2.

- Stauffer C, Kirisits T, Nussbaumer C, Pavlin R, Wingfield MJ (2001) Phylogenetic relationships between the European and Asian eight spined larch bark beetle populations (Coleoptera, Scolytidae) inferred from DNA sequences and fungal associates. *European Journal of Entomology* 98: 99–105.
- Stergulc F, Frigimelica G, Zandigiacomo P, Battisti A (1999) Gravi deperimenti del noce comune in giovani impianti da legno in Friuli-Venezia Giulia. *Sherwood* 44: 27–30.
- Strand A (1946) Seven new species of Coleoptera from Norway. *Norsk Entomologisk Tidsskrift* 7: 168–172.
- Targioni-Tozzetti A (1884) Relazioni intorno ai lavori della Regia Stazione di Entomologia Agraria di Firenze per gli anni 1879, 1880, 1881, 1882. *Annali di Agricoltura del R. Ministero di Agricoltura, Industria e Commercio, Roma*: 1–645.
- Tremblay E, Espinosa B, Mancini D, Caprio G (2000) Un coleottero proveniente dal Sudamerica minaccia i pioppi. *L'informatore Agrario* 48: 89–90.
- Underwood EC, Viers JH, Klausmeyer KR, Cox RL, Shaw MR (2009) Threats and biodiversity in the mediterranean biome. *Diversity and Distributions* 15: 188–197.
- Valkama H, Martikainen P, Raty M (1997) First record of North American ambrosia beetle *Gnathotrichus materiarius* (Fitch) (Coleoptera, Scolytidae) in Finland - a new potential forest pest? *Entomologica Fennica* 8: 193–195.
- Vandenberg NJ, Rabaglia RJ, Bright DE (2000) New records of two *Xyleborus* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in North America. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 102: 62–68.
- Vasilaina-Alexopoulou P, Mourikis AP, Bouchelos TK (1986) *Coccotrypes dactyliperda* Fabr., a new species in the Greek fauna. *Chronika Benaki Phytopathological Institute (Greece)* 15: 91–93.
- Vitousek PM, Dantonio CM, Loope LL, Rejmanek M, Westbrooks R (1997) Introduced species: A significant component of human-caused global change. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 21: 1–16.
- Voolma K, Mandelshtam, MJ, Shcherbakov AN, Yakovlev EB, Öunap H, Süda I, Popovichev BG, Sharapa TV, Galasjeva TV, Khairatdinov RR, Lipatkin VA, Mozolevskaya EG (2004) Distribution and spread of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) around the Gulf of Finland: a comparative study with notes on rare species of Estonia, Finland and North-Western Russia. *Entomologica Fennica* 15: 198–210.
- Vorst O, Heijerman T, Nunen F, Wielink P (2008) Several bark beetles new to the Dutch fauna (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Nederlandse Faunistische Mededelingen*, 61: 61–74.
- Whitehead PF, Zach P, Kulfan J, Cicak A, Cunderlik I (2000) *Dactylotrypes longicollis* (Wollaston 1864) (Coleoptera, Scolytidae) introduced to the Slovak Republic. *Anzeiger für Schadlingskunde—Journal Of Pest Science* 73: 17–18.
- Wilson EO (1992) *The Diversity of Life*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, pp.
- Wood SL (1975) New synonymy and new species of American bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), Part II. *Great Basin Naturalist* 35: 391–401.
- Wood SL (1977) Introduced and exported American Scolytidae (Coleoptera). *Great Basin Naturalist* 37: 67–74.

- Wood SL (1982) The bark and ambrosia beetles of North and Central America (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), a taxonomic monograph. Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs 6: 1–1359.
- Wood SL (2007) The bark and ambrosia beetles of South America (Coleoptera, Scolytidae). Monte L. Bean Life Science Museum, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, 900 pp, 230 plates.
- Wood SL, Bright DE (1992) A Catalog of Scolytidae and Platypodidae (Coleoptera), Part 2: Taxonomic Index. Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs 13: 1–1553.
- Work T, McCullough D, Cavey J, Komsa R (2005) Arrival rate of nonindigenous insect species into the United States through foreign trade. Biological Invasions 7: 323–332.

